

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX GENTER

APR 1 2005

HUNTON & WILLIAMS LIP 1751 Pinuscle Drive Suite 1700 McLean, Virginia 22102

Tel 703 • 714 • 7400 Fax 703 • 714 • 7410

1.00

TΟ

NAME:

Hieu C. Le

FIRM:

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

FAX NO.:

703-872-9306

PHONE NO.:

PAGES (INCLUDING COVER):

5

ORIGINAL TO FOLLOW IN MAIL:

☐ Yes 🖾 No

FROM

NAME:

James R. Miner, Reg. No. 40,444

FLOOR: DIRECT DIAL:

(703) 714-7558

MESSAGE

Attached please find the following regarding U.S. Patent Application No. 09/695,830:

- 1. Response to Restriction Requirement (pages);
- 2. Certificate of Transmission (1 page); and
- 3. Fax Cover Sheet (1 page).

IF PROBLEM WITH TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT OPERATOR AT 703 • 714 • 7500 .

OPERATOR

DATE:

April 11, 2005

TIME:

CLIENT/MATTER NAME:

CLIENT/MATTER NO.:

52493.000090

This communication is confidential and is intended to be privileged pursuant to the attorney-chent privilege and the work-product doctrine. It the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service

PTO/SB/97 (08-00) Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patern and Tragement Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1895, no personal are required to respond to a collection of information upleas it contains a valid ObitS control number.

Attorney Docket No. 52493.000090 Application Serial No: 09/695,830

Certificate Of Transmission Under 37 Cfr 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office

on April 11, 2005.

Date

James R. Miner, Reg. No. 40,444

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

- 1. Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pages);
- 2. Certificate of Transmission (1 page); and
- 3. Fax Cover Sheet (1 page). 🗸

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231 DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS SEND TO. Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

T-245 P.03/05 F-215

Application No.: 09/695,830 Attorney Docket No. 52493.000090

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of :)		RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER
Kathryn B. Vivian)	Group Art Unit: 2142	APR 1 1 2005
Application No.: 09/695,830)	Examiner: Hieu C. Le	·
Filed: October 26, 2000	Ś		
TALL WACTUOD AND SVST	EM EOR	INTERACTIVELY GENE	FRATING AND

For: "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR INTERACTIVELY GENERATING AND PRESENTING A SPECIALIZED LEARNING CURRICULUM OVER A COMPUTER NETWORK"

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 10, 2005, Applicant hereby traverses the restriction requirement and requests reconsideration and withdrawal of such requirement.

Claims 10-17, 19, 31-38 and 40 are presently pending in the application.

A. SUMMARY OF RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

The Office Action asserts that restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

Invention I: Claims 10-16, 31-37;

Invention II: Claims 17, 38; and

Invention III: Claims 19, 40.

¹ Applicant respectfully notes that the Office Action cover page incorrectly notes that claims 1-9 are pending.

T-245 P 04/05 F-215

Application No.: 09/695,830 Attorney Docket No. 52493.000090

The Office Action asserts that the inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons - Inventions I-III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The Office Action asserts that the subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable; and that in the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a method for selecting number of content, invention II has separate utility such as a system for storing received personal information to a user profile associated with the user if it is determine that the user is logged in; and invention III has separate utility such as a system for emailing content element to a friend; See MPEP. § 806.05(d).

B. ELECTION

In the event that the election requirement is not withdrawn, Applicant hereby provisionally elects the Invention I of Claims 10-16, 31-37, with traverse.

C. TRAVERSAL

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement. It is respectfully submitted that, due to the related subject matter of the claims, a complete and thorough search of the claims identified above as belonging to Group I would involve a search of the areas relevant to the non-elected claims. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the burden on the Examiner does not warrant requiring Applicant to pay duplicative PTO fees and perform duplicative prosecution to obtain patent protection for the present invention.

Further, the Office Action's indication of "method" and "system" claims is not understood, as supporting the asserted Requirement. That is, for example, claim 17 is indicated in paragraph LII. as being drawn to a system. However, claim 17 is a method claim. Similarly, claim 19 is reflected in the Office Action as being drawn to a system, whereas claim 19 is drawn to a method. Clarification is respectfully requested.